arXiv:1207.2505v1 [cs.IT] 10 Jul 2012

Second-Order Slepian-Wolf Source Coding for Mixed Sources

Ryo Nomura, *Member, IEEE,* and Te Sun Han, *Life Fellow, IEEE*

Abstract

The *second-order* achievable rate region in Slepian-Wolf source coding systems is determined. The concept of *second-order* achievable rates, which enables us to make a finer evaluation of achievable rates, has already been introduced and analyzed for *general* sources in the single-user source coding problem. Analogously, in this paper, we first define the *second-order* achievable rate region for the Slepian-Wolf coding system and establish the source coding theorem in the *second-order* sense. The Slepian-Wolf coding problem for correlated sources is one of the typical problems in the multi-terminal information theory. In particular, Miyake and Kanaya, and Han have established the *first-order* source coding theorems for *general* correlated sources. On the other hand, the *second-order* achievable rate problem for the Slepian-Wolf coding system remains still open up to present. In this paper we present the analysis concerning the *second-order* achievable rates for *general* sources which are on the basis of the information spectrum methods developed by Han and Verdú. Moreover, we compute the explicit *second-order* achievable rate region for i.i.d. correlated sources and *mixed* correlated sources respectively, using the relevant asymptotic normality.

Index Terms

Second-Order Achievability, Slepian-Wolf Data Compression System, Correlated Sources, Asymptotic Normality

R. Nomura is with the School of Network and Information, Senshu University, Kanagawa, Japan, e-mail: nomu@isc.senshu-u.ac.jp

T. S. Han is with the National Institute of Information and Communications Technology (NICT), Tokyo, Japan, email: han@aoni.waseda.jp

The first author with this work was supported in part by JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Young Scientists (B) No. 23760346.

I. Introduction

We establish the *second-order* source coding theorems for the Slepian-Wolf coding system. In the single-source coding system, Han and Verdú [1] and Steinberg and Verdú [2] have shown the source coding theorem for *general* sources using the *information spectrum* methods. Since the class of *general* sources is quite large, their results are very fundamental and useful. On the other hand, there are several researches concerning a finer evaluation of achievable rates called rates of the *second-order*. In variable-length source coding, Kontoyiannis [3] has established the second-order source coding theorem. In channel coding problems, Strassen (see, Csiszár and Körner [4]), Hayashi [5], Polyanskiy, Poor and Verdú [6], have determined the second-order capacity. In addition, Hayashi [7] has given the second-order optimal achievability theorem for the fixed-length source coding problem for *general* sources, and actually computed it for i.i.d. sources by invoking the asymptotic normality. From his analyses we know that the *information spectrum* methods [8] are still effective also for the evaluation on second-order achievable rates. Nomura and Han [9] have computed the second-order optimal rate for *mixed* sources, which is a typical case of *nonergodic* source, again by invoking the relevant asymptotic normality.

In the area of multi-source coding problems, there are various types of source coding problems [10]. The Slepian-Wolf coding problem for two correlated sources is one of such typical problems [10], [11]. In particular, there are two typical settings in the Slepian-Wolf coding problem. One is the setting that the decoder decodes only the sequence emitted from the one source, and another sequence emitted from another source is used as side-information. The other is the setting that the decoder have to decode both of two sequences emitted from two correlated sources. We call the former, the full side-information type problem in this paper.

In the full side-information type problem, the *first-order* achievable rates for *general* correlated sources have been determined by Steinberg and Verdú [12]. On the other hand, Watanabe, Matsumoto and Uyematsu [13], and Nomura and Matsushima [14] have considered the *second-order* achievable rate problem with full side-information; in this special case, Watanabe, Matsumoto and Uyematsu [13], have given only a "necessary" condition on the second-order achievable rates for i.i.d. correlated sources, while Nomura and Matsushima [14] have exactly determined the second-order achievable rates for i.i.d. correlated sources. Their results hold also on the basis of information spectrum methods and the asymptotic normality.

In this paper, we consider the ordinary Slepian-Wolf coding problem without full side-information, that is, the decoder have to reconstruct both of source sequences. In this setting, Miyake and Kanaya [15] with finite source alphabets, as well as Han [8] with countably infinite source alphabets has established the *first-order* source coding theorem for *general* correlated sources. The *second-order* achievable rate region without full side-information for the Slepian-Wolf coding problem was first considered by Nomura and Matsushima [16]; they have focused only on i.i.d. correlated sources, where, unfortunately, the sufficient condition and the necessary condition do not coincide. On the other hand, in this paper, we shall determine the necessary and sufficient condition for *general* correlated sources. Furthermore, for i.i.d. correlated sources and mixed correlated sources, we apply our fundamental results to derive the explicit *second-order* achievable rate region. In the *second-order* analysis for i.i.d. correlated sources, the multivariate normal distribution function due to the central limit theorem plays the key role, while in the previous researches on the *second-order* achievable rates (cf. [9], [13], [14], [16]), only one-dimensional normal distribution function was enough to consider. Recently, Tan and Kosut [17] have independently established the *second-order* source coding theorem for i.i.d. correlated sources, which is derived as a special case of the main results in this paper.

The analyses here are also on the basis of information spectrum methods invoking *multi*-dimensional distribution functions. In the *second-order* analysis for mixed correlated sources, we extend our results for i.i.d. correlated sources to the *mixed* correlated sources consisting of i.i.d. correlated sources. The class of mixed correlated sources is very important, because all of stationary sources can be regarded as forming mixed sources obtained by mixing stationary ergodic sources with respect to appropriate probability measure. The first-order achievable rate region for mixed correlated sources has already been computed by Han [8] on the basis of the information spectrum methods. We compute the second-order achievable rate region also by using the information spectrum methods.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section II, we define the *general* correlated sources and achievable rate region. Then, we review the previous results on the first-order achievable rate region. In Section III, we derive the second-order achievable rate region for *general* sources by invoking the *information spectrum* methods. In Section IV we compute the second-order achievable rate region for i.i.d. correlated sources by using the asymptotic normality. In Section V, we compute the second-order achievable rate region for *mixed* correlated sources, again by using the relevant asymptotic normality. Finally, we conclude our results in Section VI.

II. PRELIMINARIES

A. Correlated Sources

Let $\mathcal{X}_1$ and $\mathcal{X}_2$ be alphabets of two correlated sources, where $\mathcal{X}_1$ and $\mathcal{X}_2$ may be countably infinite. Let $(\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2) = \{(X_1^n, X_2^n)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ denote a general correlated source pair, i.e., (X_1^n, X_2^n) is a pair of correlated (source) variables of block length n, and we write as

$$(X_1^n, X_2^n) = (X_{11}, X_{21}), (X_{12}, X_{22}), \cdots, (X_{1n}, X_{2n}),$$

and let $\mathbf{x_j} = x_{j1}, x_{j2}, \cdots, x_{jn}$ be a realization of random variable X_j^n $(j = 1, 2)$. The probability distribution of $(\mathbf{x_1}, \mathbf{x_2})$ is denoted by $P_{X_1^n X_2^n}(\mathbf{x_1}, \mathbf{x_2})$. In particular, in the case that the pair of correlated sources has an i.i.d. property, it holds that

$$P_{X_1^n X_2^n}(\mathbf{x_1}, \mathbf{x_2}) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} P_{X_1 X_2}(x_{1i}, x_{2i}),$$

with generic correlated random variable (X_1, X_2).

B. ε-Achievable Rate Region

The fixed-length codes for correlated sources are characterized by a pair of encoders $(\phi_n^{(1)}, \phi_n^{(2)})$ and a decoder ψ_n. The encoders are mappings such as $\phi_n^{(1)} : \mathcal{X}_1^n \to \mathcal{M}_n^{(1)}$, $\phi_n^{(2)} : \mathcal{X}_2^n \to \mathcal{M}_n^{(2)}$, where

$$\mathcal{M}_n^{(1)} = \{1, 2, \cdots, M_n^{(1)}\}, \quad \mathcal{M}_n^{(2)} = \{1, 2, \cdots, M_n^{(2)}\},$$

denote the code sets. The decoder is defined as a mapping $\psi_n : \mathcal{M}_n^{(1)} \times \mathcal{M}_n^{(2)} \to \mathcal{X}_1^n \times \mathcal{X}_2^n$. In the sequel, we focus on this Slepian-Wolf type source coding problem.

The performance of fixed-length codes is evaluated in terms of the error probability and the codeword length. The error probability is given by

$$\varepsilon_n = \Pr\{(X_1^n, X_2^n) \neq \psi_n(\phi_n^{(1)}(X_1^n), \phi_n^{(2)}(X_2^n))\}.$$

We call such a pair of encoders $(\phi_n^{(1)}, \phi_n^{(2)})$ and decoder ψ_n along with error probability ε_n an $(n, M_n^{(1)}, M_n^{(2)}, \varepsilon_n)$ code.

Definition 2.1: A rate pair (R_1, R_2) is called an ε-achievable rate pair if there exists an $(n, M_n^{(1)}, M_n^{(2)}, \varepsilon_n)$ code satisfying

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} \log M_n^{(1)} \leq R_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} \log M_n^{(2)} \leq R_2,$$

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \varepsilon_n \leq \varepsilon.$$

Then, the ε-achievable rate region is defined as the set of all ε-achievable rate pairs:

Definition 2.2: (ε-Achievable Rate Region)

$$R(\epsilon|\mathbf{X}_1,\mathbf{X}_2) = \{(R_1,R_2)|(R_1,R_2) \text{ is } \varepsilon\text{-achievable rate}\}.$$

For general correlated sources, Miyake and Kanaya [15] with finite source alphabets have determined the 0-achievable rate region and Han [8] with countably infinite source alphabets have established the ε-achievable rate region for $0 \le \forall\varepsilon < 1$. Before describing their results, we need to define the spectral sup-entropy rate as follows[1].

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{H}(\mathbf{X}_1|\mathbf{X}_2) &\equiv \operatorname{p-}\limsup_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n}\log\frac{1}{P_{X_1^n|X_2^n}(X_1^n|X_2^n)},\\
\overline{H}(\mathbf{X}_2|\mathbf{X}_1) &\equiv \operatorname{p-}\limsup_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n}\log\frac{1}{P_{X_2^n|X_1^n}(X_2^n|X_1^n)},\\
\overline{H}(\mathbf{X}_1\mathbf{X}_2) &\equiv \operatorname{p-}\limsup_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n}\log\frac{1}{P_{X_1^nX_2^n}(X_1^nX_2^n)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Assuming that the pair of correlated sources has an i.i.d. property, it holds that

$$\overline{H}(\mathbf{X}_1|\mathbf{X}_2) = H(X_1|X_2),\quad \overline{H}(\mathbf{X}_2|\mathbf{X}_1) = H(X_2|X_1),\quad \overline{H}(\mathbf{X}_1\mathbf{X}_2) = H(X_1X_2),$$

where $H(X_1|X_2)$ denotes a conditional entropy and $H(X_1X_2)$ denotes a joint entropy.

The following *first-order* achievable rate theorem reveals the rate region for *general* correlated sources.

Theorem 2.1 (Miyake and Kanaya [15]): For any *general* sources with finite alphabets, the 0-achievable rate region is given as the set of (R_1,R_2) satisfying

$$R(0|\mathbf{X}_1,\mathbf{X}_2) = \{(R_1,R_2)\,\big|R_1 \ge \overline{H}(\mathbf{X}_1|\mathbf{X}_2), R_2 \ge \overline{H}(\mathbf{X}_2|\mathbf{X}_1),\ R_1+R_2 \ge \overline{H}(\mathbf{X}_1\mathbf{X}_2).\}.$$

Han [8] has generalized their theorem to the case $0 \le \epsilon < 1$ with countably infinite alphabets; set the function $F_n(R_1,R_2)$ as

$$\begin{aligned}
F_n(R_1,R_2) = \Pr\Bigg\{&\frac{1}{n}\log\frac{1}{P_{X_1^n|X_2^n}(X_1^n|X_2^n)} \ge R_1 \text{ or } \frac{1}{n}\log\frac{1}{P_{X_2^n|X_1^n}(X_2^n|X_1^n)} \ge R_2\\
&\qquad\qquad \text{or } \frac{1}{n}\log\frac{1}{P_{X_1^nX_2^n}(X_1^nX_2^n)} \ge R_1+R_2\Bigg\},
\end{aligned}$$

then we have:

---

[1] For any sequence $\{Z_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of real-valued random variables, we define the limit superior in probability of $\{Z_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ by $\operatorname{p-}\limsup_{n\to\infty} Z_n = \inf\{\beta|\lim_{n\to\infty}\Pr\{Z_n > \beta\} = 0\}$ (cf.[8]) .

Theorem 2.2 (Han [8]): For any *general* sources with countably infinite alphabets, the *first-order* ε-achievable rate region is given by

$$R(\varepsilon|\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2) = \mathrm{Cl}\left(\left\{(R_1, R_2)\,\middle|\,\limsup_{n\to\infty} F_n(R_1, R_2) \le \varepsilon\right\}\right), \tag{2.1}$$

where the function $\mathrm{Cl}(\cdot)$ denotes the closure operation.

This theorem has been established using the following key lemmas:

Lemma 2.1 (Han [8]): Let $M_n^{(1)}$ and $M_n^{(2)}$ be arbitrarily given positive integers. Then, for all $n = 1, 2, \cdots$, there exists an $(n, M_n^{(1)}, M_n^{(2)}, \epsilon_n)$ code satisfying

$$\begin{aligned}\varepsilon_n \le \Pr\Bigg\{ z_n P_{X_1^n|X_2^n}(X_1^n|X_2^n) \le \frac{1}{M_n^{(1)}} \text{ or } z_n P_{X_2^n|X_1^n}(X_2^n|X_1^n) \le \frac{1}{M_n^{(2)}} \\ \text{or } z_n P_{X_1^n X_2^n}(X_1^n, X_2^n) \le \frac{1}{M_n^{(1)} M_n^{(2)}} \Bigg\} + 3z_n,\end{aligned}$$

where $\{z_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a sequence of arbitrary numbers such that $z_i > 0$ $(\forall i = 1, 2, \cdots)$. ■

Lemma 2.2 (Han [8]): Any $(n, M_n^{(1)}, M_n^{(2)}, \epsilon_n)$ code satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}\varepsilon_n \ge \Pr\Bigg\{ P_{X_1^n|X_2^n}(X_1^n|X_2^n) \le \frac{z_n}{M_n^{(1)}} \text{ or } P_{X_2^n|X_1^n}(X_2^n|X_1^n) \le \frac{z_n}{M_n^{(2)}} \\ \text{or } P_{X_1^n X_2^n}(X_1^n, X_2^n) \le \frac{z_n}{M_n^{(1)} M_n^{(2)}} \Bigg\} - 3z_n,\end{aligned}$$

for all $n = 1, 2, \cdots$, where $\{z_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a sequence of an arbitrary numbers such that $z_i > 0$ $(\forall i = 1, 2, \cdots)$. ■

Notice here that these lemmas are valid for general correlated sources with countably infinite alphabets. In this paper too we shall invoke these lemmas in order to derive the *second-order* achievable rate region as in the subsequent sections.

C. (a_1, a_2, ε)-Achievable Rate Region

In the single source coding problem, a finer evaluation called the *second-order* achievable rate has been studied. Accordingly, we define the *second-order* achievable rate pair as follows.

Definition 2.3: A rate pair (L_1, L_2) is called a *second-order* (a_1, a_2, ε)-achievable rate pair if there exists an $(n, M_n^{(1)}, M_n^{(2)}, \varepsilon_n)$ code satisfying

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \log \frac{M_n^{(1)}}{e^{na_1}} \le L_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \log \frac{M_n^{(2)}}{e^{na_2}} \le L_2,$$

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \varepsilon_n \le \varepsilon.$$

Notice that, in the definition of (a_1, a_2, ε)-achievable rate pairs, the condition for the error probability is as same as the one in the definition of ε-achievable rate pair. Moreover, let us define the set of (a_1, a_2, ε)-achievable rate pairs given (a_1, a_2).

Definition 2.4 (second-order (a_1, a_2, ε)*-achievable rate region):*

$$L(a_1, a_2, \varepsilon|\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2) = \{(L_1, L_2)|(L_1, L_2) \text{ is } (a_1, a_2, \varepsilon)\text{-achievable}\}.$$

III. (a_1, a_2, ε)-ACHIEVABLE RATE REGION FOR GENERAL CORRELATED SOURCES

We shall determine the (a_1, a_2, ε)-achievable rate region for *general* correlated sources with countably infinite alphabets. Before describing our main result, let us define the function $F_n(L_1, L_2|a_1, a_2)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} &F_n(L_1, L_2|a_1, a_2) \\ &\quad = \Pr\left\{ \frac{-\log P_{X_1^n|X_2^n}(X_1^n|X_2^n) - na_1}{\sqrt{n}} \geq L_1 \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{-\log P_{X_2^n|X_1^n}(X_2^n|X_1^n) - na_2}{\sqrt{n}} \geq L_2 \right. \\ &\qquad\qquad \left. \text{or} \quad \frac{-\log P_{X_1^n X_2^n}(X_1^n X_2^n) - n\,(a_1 + a_2)}{\sqrt{n}} \geq L_1 + L_2 \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

and set

$$\begin{aligned} &\overline{F}_n(L_1, L_2|a_1, a_2) \\ &\quad \equiv 1 - F_n(L_1, L_2|a_1, a_2) \\ &\quad = \Pr\left\{ \frac{-\log P_{X_1^n|X_2^n}(X_1^n|X_2^n) - na_1}{\sqrt{n}} < L_1, \frac{-\log P_{X_2^n|X_1^n}(X_2^n|X_1^n) - na_2}{\sqrt{n}} < L_2, \right. \\ &\qquad\qquad \left. \frac{-\log P_{X_1^n X_2^n}(X_1^n X_2^n) - n\,(a_1 + a_2)}{\sqrt{n}} < L_1 + L_2 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

It should be remarked that the function thus defined $\overline{F}_n(L_1, L_2|a_1, a_2)$ is a multivariate cumulative distribution function. Now, we have

Theorem 3.1:

$$L(a_1, a_2, \varepsilon|\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2) = \mathrm{Cl}\Big(\Big\{(L_1, L_2)\,\Big|\limsup_{n\to\infty} F_n(L_1, L_2|a_1, a_2) \leq \varepsilon\Big\}\Big). \tag{3.1}$$

Proof: It is obvious by virtue of De Morgan's law (3.1) in Theorem 3.1 is equivalent to

$$L(a_1, a_2, \varepsilon|\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2) = \mathrm{Cl}\Big(\Big\{(L_1, L_2)\,\Big|\liminf_{n\to\infty} \overline{F}_n(L_1, L_2|a_1, a_2) \geq 1 - \varepsilon\Big\}\Big), \tag{3.2}$$

so that in the sequel we will give the proof to (3.2) instead of (3.1).

1) Direct Part:

For any fixed (L_1, L_2) satisfying

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} \overline{F}_n(L_1, L_2|a_1, a_2) \geq 1 - \epsilon, \tag{3.3}$$

set

$$M_n^{(1)} = e^{na_1 + L_1\sqrt{n} + 2\sqrt[4]{n}\gamma}, \quad M_n^{(2)} = e^{na_2 + L_2\sqrt{n} + 2\sqrt[4]{n}\gamma},$$

where $\gamma > 0$ is an arbitrary small constant. It is obvious that

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \log \frac{M_n^{(1)}}{e^{na_1}} \leq L_1,$$

and

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \log \frac{M_n^{(2)}}{e^{na_2}} \leq L_2,$$

hold. Thus, in this direct part it suffices to show the existence of an $(n, M_n^{(1)}, M_n^{(2)}, \epsilon_n)$ code such that $\limsup_{n\to\infty} \varepsilon_n \leq \varepsilon$.

Lemma 2.1 implies that there exists an $(n, M_n^{(1)}, M_n^{(2)}, \epsilon_n)$ code such that

$$\begin{aligned}
\varepsilon_n \leq & \Pr\Big\{ z_n P_{X_1^n|X_2^n}(X_1^n|X_2^n) \leq e^{-na_1 - L_1\sqrt{n} - 2\sqrt[4]{n}\gamma}, \text{ or } z_n P_{X_2^n|X_1^n}(X_2^n|X_1^n) \leq e^{-na_2 - L_2\sqrt{n} - 2\sqrt[4]{n}\gamma}, \\
& \quad \text{or } z_n P_{X_1^n X_2^n}(X_1^n, X_2^n) \leq e^{-n(a_1+a_2) - \sqrt{n}(L_1+L_2) - 4\sqrt[4]{n}\gamma} \Big\} + 3z_n \\
= & \Pr\Big\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \log \frac{1}{z_n P_{X_1^n|X_2^n}(X_1^n|X_2^n)} \geq \frac{na_1 + L_1\sqrt{n} + 2\sqrt[4]{n}\gamma}{\sqrt{n}}, \\
& \quad \text{or } \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \log \frac{1}{z_n P_{X_2^n|X_1^n}(X_2^n|X_1^n)} \geq \frac{na_2 + L_2\sqrt{n} + 2\sqrt[4]{n}\gamma}{\sqrt{n}}, \\
& \quad \text{or } \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \log \frac{1}{z_n P_{X_1^n X_2^n}(X_1^n X_2^n)} \geq \frac{n(a_1+a_2) + (L_1+L_2)\sqrt{n} + 4\sqrt[4]{n}\gamma}{\sqrt{n}} \Big\} + 3z_n.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\{z_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a sequence of arbitrary numbers satisfying $z_i > 0$ $(\forall i = 1, 2, \cdots)$, we set $z_n = e^{-\sqrt[4]{n}\gamma}$. Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\varepsilon_n \leq & \Pr\Big\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \log \frac{1}{P_{X_1^n|X_2^n}(X_1^n|X_2^n)} \geq \frac{na_1 + L_1\sqrt{n} + 2\sqrt[4]{n}\gamma}{\sqrt{n}} - \frac{\sqrt[4]{n}\gamma}{\sqrt{n}}, \\
& \quad \text{or } \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \log \frac{1}{P_{X_2^n|X_1^n}(X_2^n|X_1^n)} \geq \frac{na_2 + L_2\sqrt{n} + 2\sqrt[4]{n}\gamma}{\sqrt{n}} - \frac{\sqrt[4]{n}\gamma}{\sqrt{n}}, \\
& \quad \text{or } \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \log \frac{1}{P_{X_1^n X_2^n}(X_1^n X_2^n)} \geq \frac{n(a_1+a_2) + (L_1+L_2)\sqrt{n} + 4\sqrt[4]{n}\gamma}{\sqrt{n}} - \frac{\sqrt[4]{n}\gamma}{\sqrt{n}} \Big\} + 3e^{-\sqrt[4]{n}\gamma}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
\varepsilon_n \le\ & \Pr\left\{ \frac{-\log P_{X_1^n|X_2^n}(X_1^n|X_2^n) - na_1}{\sqrt{n}} \ge L_1 + \frac{\gamma}{\sqrt[4]{n}}, \right.\\
& \quad \text{or } \frac{-\log P_{X_2^n|X_1^n}(X_2^n|X_1^n) - na_2}{\sqrt{n}} \ge L_2 + \frac{\gamma}{\sqrt[4]{n}},\\
& \quad \left. \text{or } \frac{-\log P_{X_1^nX_2^n}(X_1^nX_2^n) - n(a_1+a_2)}{\sqrt{n}} \ge L_1 + L_2 + \frac{3\gamma}{\sqrt[4]{n}} \right\} + 3e^{-\sqrt[4]{n}\gamma}\\
\le\ & \Pr\left\{ \frac{-\log P_{X_1^n|X_2^n}(X_1^n|X_2^n) - na_1}{\sqrt{n}} \ge L_1 + \frac{\gamma}{\sqrt[4]{n}}, \right.\\
& \quad \text{or } \frac{-\log P_{X_2^n|X_1^n}(X_2^n|X_1^n) - na_2}{\sqrt{n}} \ge L_2 + \frac{\gamma}{\sqrt[4]{n}},\\
& \quad \left. \text{or } \frac{-\log P_{X_1^nX_2^n}(X_1^nX_2^n) - n(a_1+a_2)}{\sqrt{n}} \ge L_1 + L_2 + \frac{2\gamma}{\sqrt[4]{n}} \right\} + 3e^{-\sqrt[4]{n}\gamma}. \qquad (3.4)
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, by means of De Morgan's law, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \Pr\left\{ \frac{-\log P_{X_1^n|X_2^n}(X_1^n|X_2^n) - na_1}{\sqrt{n}} \ge L_1 + \frac{\gamma}{\sqrt[4]{n}}, \right.\\
& \quad \text{or } \frac{-\log P_{X_2^n|X_1^n}(X_2^n|X_1^n) - na_2}{\sqrt{n}} \ge L_2 + \frac{\gamma}{\sqrt[4]{n}},\\
& \quad \left. \text{or } \frac{-\log P_{X_1^nX_2^n}(X_1^nX_2^n) - n(a_1+a_2)}{\sqrt{n}} \ge L_1 + L_2 + \frac{2\gamma}{\sqrt[4]{n}} \right\}\\
&= 1 - \Pr\left\{ \frac{-\log P_{X_1^n|X_2^n}(X_1^n|X_2^n) - na_1}{\sqrt{n}} < L_1 + \frac{\gamma}{\sqrt[4]{n}}, \right.\\
& \quad \frac{-\log P_{X_2^n|X_1^n}(X_2^n|X_1^n) - na_2}{\sqrt{n}} < L_2 + \frac{\gamma}{\sqrt[4]{n}},\\
& \quad \left. \frac{-\log P_{X_1^nX_2^n}(X_1^nX_2^n) - n(a_1+a_2)}{\sqrt{n}} < L_1 + L_2 + \frac{2\gamma}{\sqrt[4]{n}} \right\}\\
&= 1 - \overline{F}_n\left(L_1 + \frac{\gamma}{\sqrt[4]{n}}, L_2 + \frac{\gamma}{\sqrt[4]{n}} \middle| a_1, a_2 \right). \qquad (3.5)
\end{aligned}$$

Substituting (3.5) into (3.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\varepsilon_n &\le 1 - \overline{F}_n\left(L_1 + \frac{\gamma}{\sqrt[4]{n}}, L_2 + \frac{\gamma}{\sqrt[4]{n}} \middle| a_1, a_2 \right) + 3e^{-\sqrt[4]{n}\gamma}\\
&\le 1 - \overline{F}_n\left(L_1, L_2 | a_1, a_2 \right) + 3e^{-\sqrt[4]{n}\gamma}.
\end{aligned}$$

By taking $\limsup_{n\to\infty}$, we have

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \varepsilon_n \le 1 - \liminf_{n\to\infty} \overline{F}_n\left(L_1, L_2 | a_1, a_2 \right) \le \epsilon,$$

where the last inequality follows from (3.3). Thus, the direct part has been proved.

2) Converse Part:

Suppose that a pair (L_1, L_2) such that

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} \overline{F}_n(L_1, L_2|a_1, a_2) < 1 - \varepsilon,$$

is (a_1, a_2, ε)-achievable. Then, from the assumption, for any small $\gamma > 0$, we have a code $(n, M_n^{(1)}, M_n^{(2)}, \varepsilon_n)$ such that

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \log \frac{M_n^{(1)}}{e^{na_1}} \le L_1 + \gamma, \tag{3.6}$$

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \log \frac{M_n^{(2)}}{e^{na_2}} \le L_2 + \gamma, \tag{3.7}$$

for all sufficiently large n, and that

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \varepsilon_n \le \varepsilon. \tag{3.8}$$

Thus, substituting (3.6) and (3.7) into Lemma 2.2, the error probability is lower bounded by

$$\begin{aligned}
\varepsilon_n \ \ge\ \Pr\Bigg\{ & \frac{-\log P_{X_1^n|X_2^n}(X_1^n|X_2^n) - na_1}{\sqrt{n}} \ge L_1 + \gamma - \frac{\log z_n}{\sqrt{n}} \\
\text{or } & \frac{-\log P_{X_2^n|X_1^n}(X_2^n|X_1^n) - na_2}{\sqrt{n}} \ge L_2 + \gamma - \frac{\log z_n}{\sqrt{n}} \\
\text{or } & \frac{-\log P_{X_1^n X_2^n}(X_1^n X_2^n) - n(a_1 + a_2)}{\sqrt{n}} \ge L_1 + L_2 + 2\gamma - \frac{\log z_n}{\sqrt{n}} \Bigg\} - 3z_n,
\end{aligned}$$

for all $n = 1, 2, \cdots$, where $\{z_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is a sequence of an arbitrary numbers satisfying $z_i > 0$ $(\forall i = 1, 2, \cdots)$. Set $z_n = e^{-\sqrt{n}\gamma}$ and substituting it into the above, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\varepsilon_n \ \ge\ \Pr\Bigg\{ & \frac{-\log P_{X_1^n|X_2^n}(X_1^n|X_2^n) - na_1}{\sqrt{n}} \ge L_1 + 2\gamma \\
\text{or } & \frac{-\log P_{X_2^n|X_1^n}(X_2^n|X_1^n) - na_2}{\sqrt{n}} \ge L_2 + 2\gamma \\
\text{or } & \frac{-\log P_{X_1^n X_2^n}(X_1^n X_2^n) - n(a_1 + a_2)}{\sqrt{n}} \ge L_1 + L_2 + 3\gamma \Bigg\} - 3e^{-\sqrt{n}\gamma} \\
>\ \Pr\Bigg\{ & \frac{-\log P_{X_1^n|X_2^n}(X_1^n|X_2^n) - na_1}{\sqrt{n}} \ge L_1 + 2\gamma \\
\text{or } & \frac{-\log P_{X_2^n|X_1^n}(X_2^n|X_1^n) - na_2}{\sqrt{n}} \ge L_2 + 2\gamma \\
\text{or } & \frac{-\log P_{X_1^n X_2^n}(X_1^n X_2^n) - n(a_1 + a_2)}{\sqrt{n}} \ge L_1 + L_2 + 4\gamma \Bigg\} - 3e^{-\sqrt{n}\gamma}
\end{aligned}$$

Here, again, owing to De Morgan's law, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\varepsilon_n &\geq 1-\Pr\left\{\frac{-\log P_{X_1^n|X_2^n}(X_1^n|X_2^n)-na_1}{\sqrt{n}}<L_1+2\gamma,\right.\\ &\qquad \frac{-\log P_{X_2^n|X_1^n}(X_2^n|X_1^n)-na_2}{\sqrt{n}}<L_2+2\gamma,\\ &\qquad \left.\frac{-\log P_{X_1^nX_2^n}(X_1^nX_2^n)-n(a_1+a_2)}{\sqrt{n}}<L_1+L_2+4\gamma\right\}-3e^{-\sqrt{n}\gamma}\\ &= 1-\overline{F}_n\left(L_1+2\gamma,L_2+2\gamma|\,a_1,a_2\right)-3e^{-\sqrt{n}\gamma}.\end{aligned}$$

By taking $\limsup_{n\to\infty}$, from (3.8) we have

$$\varepsilon\geq\limsup_{n\to\infty}\varepsilon_n\geq 1-\liminf_{n\to\infty}\overline{F}_n\left(L_1+2\gamma,L_2+2\gamma|\,a_1,a_2\right).$$

Since $\gamma>0$ is arbitrary, this means that

$$L(a_1,a_2,\varepsilon|\mathbf{X}_1,\mathbf{X}_2)\in\mathrm{Cl}\Big(\Big\{(L_1,L_2)\,\Big|\liminf_{n\to\infty}\overline{F}_n(L_1,L_2|a_1,a_2)\geq 1-\varepsilon\Big\}\Big).$$

Thus, we have proved the converse part. ■

IV. (a_1,a_2,ε)-ACHIEVABLE RATE REGION FOR I.I.D. CORRELATED SOURCES

Although, Theorem 3.1 is valid for *general* correlated sources, it is hard to compute it. In the subsequent sections, we compute the (a_1,a_2,ε)-achievable rate region for some illustrative cases. To do so, in this section we assume that the pair of correlated sources has an i.i.d. property.

In this case, Theorem 2.1 reduces to the first-order Slepian-Wolf theorem for i.i.d. correlated sources, i.e., we have

$$R(0|\mathbf{X}_1,\mathbf{X}_2)=\{(R_1,R_2)\,|R_1\geq H(X_1|X_2),R_2\geq H(X_2|X_1),\ R_1+R_2\geq H(X_1X_2)\},$$

with generic correlated source variable (X_1,X_2), which forms the pentagon with the following boundary points:

Case I (Corner Points):

$$a_1=H(X_1|X_2)\quad\text{and}\quad a_2=H(X_2);$$

$$a_1=H(X_1)\quad\text{and}\quad a_2=H(X_2|X_1),$$

Case II (Non-Corner Points): For $0<\forall\lambda<1$,

$$a_1=\lambda H(X_1)+(1-\lambda)H(X_1|X_2)\quad\text{and}\quad a_2=(1-\lambda)H(X_2)+\lambda H(X_2|X_1),$$

Case III (Full Side Points):

$$a_1 = H(X_1|X_2) \quad \text{and} \quad a_2 > H(X_2);$$

$$a_1 > H(X_1) \quad \text{and} \quad a_2 = H(X_2|X_1).$$

In each of these cases, we compute the (a_1, a_2, ε)-achievable rate region on the basis of Theorem 3.1.

Remark 4.1: It should be noted here that it is not difficult to check that (L_1, L_2) can take arbitrary values in $\mathbb{R}^2$ if (a_1, a_2) is an internal point of the pentagon; and $L_1 = L_2 = +\infty$ if (a_1, a_2) is outside the pentagon. Thus, we may focus only on the above cases.

First, we define

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi(T_1, T_2, T_3) \equiv \lim_{n\to\infty} \Pr\Bigg\{ & \frac{-\log P_{X_1^n|X_2^n}(X_1^n|X_2^n) - nH(X_1|X_2)}{\sqrt{n}} < T_1, \\ & \frac{-\log P_{X_2^n|X_1^n}(X_2^n|X_1^n) - nH(X_2|X_1)}{\sqrt{n}} < T_2, \\ & \frac{-\log P_{X_1^n X_2^n}(X_1^n X_2^n) - nH(X_1 X_2)}{\sqrt{n}} < T_1 + T_2 \Bigg\},\end{aligned}$$

then, by means of the central limit theorem, we see that $\Phi(T_1, T_2, T_3)$ specifies three-dimensional normal cumulative distribution function; more specifically,

$$\Phi(T_1, T_2, T_3) \equiv \int_{-\infty}^{T_1} dy_1 \int_{-\infty}^{T_2} dy_2 \int_{-\infty}^{T_3} dy_3 \frac{1}{(\sqrt{2\pi})^3 \sqrt{\det \Sigma}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{y}\Sigma^{-1}\mathbf{y}^T\right),$$

where $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, y_2, y_3)$ is a three-dimensional row vector, and $\Sigma = (\sigma_{ij}^2) \quad (i, j = 1, 2, 3)$ denotes the covariance matrix, which is given by

$$\sigma_{ij}^2 = \sum_{x_1 \in \mathcal{X}_1} \sum_{x_2 \in \mathcal{X}_2} P_{X_1 X_2}(x_1, x_2) z_i(x_1, x_2) z_j(x_1, x_2),$$

where

$$z_1(x_1, x_2) = \log \frac{1}{P_{X_1|X_2}(x_1|x_2)} - H(X_1|X_2),$$

$$z_2(x_1, x_2) = \log \frac{1}{P_{X_2|X_1}(x_2|x_1)} - H(X_2|X_1),$$

$$z_3(x_1, x_2) = \log \frac{1}{P_{X_1 X_2}(x_1, x_2)} - H(X_1 X_2).$$

We then consider the marginal cumulative distributions $\Phi_{23}(T_2, T_3)$, $\Phi_3(T_3)$ of $\Phi(T_1, T_2, T_3)$ such that

$$\Phi_{23}(T_2, T_3) \equiv \lim_{T_1\to\infty} \Phi(T_1, T_2, T_3),$$

$$\Phi_3(T_3) \equiv \lim_{T_1,T_2\to\infty} \Phi(T_1,T_2,T_3).$$

Other marginal cumulative distributions can be defined as in similar manners. Notice that $\Phi_3(T_3)$ is a one-dimensional normal cumulative distribution function.

Now, we have the following theorem:

Theorem 4.1: For any i.i.d. correlated sources, we have for all $0 \le \varepsilon < 1$:

Case I $a_1 = H(X_1|X_2)$ and $a_2 = H(X_2)$ (without loss of generality):

$$L(a_1,a_2,\varepsilon|\mathbf{X}_1,\mathbf{X}_2) = \left\{(L_1,L_2)\left|\Phi_{13}\left(L_1,L_1+L_2\right) \ge 1-\varepsilon\right.\right\}.$$

Case II (for all $0 < \lambda < 1$):

$$L(a_1,a_2,\varepsilon|\mathbf{X}_1,\mathbf{X}_2) \quad = \quad \left\{(L_1,L_2)\left|\Phi_3\left(L_1+L_2\right) \ge 1-\varepsilon\right.\right\}.$$

Case III $a_1 = H(X_1|X_2)$ and $a_2 > H(X_2)$ (without loss of generality):

$$L(a_1,a_2,\varepsilon|\mathbf{X}_1,\mathbf{X}_2) \quad = \quad \left\{(L_1,L_2)\left|\Phi_1\left(L_1\right) \ge 1-\varepsilon\right.\right\}.$$

Remark 4.2: Notice that both of $\Phi_3\left(L_1+L_2\right)$ and $\Phi_1\left(L_1\right)$ are one-dimensional normal cumulative distribution functions. Thus, they can be simply written as

$$\Phi_3\left(L_1+L_2\right) = \int_{-\infty}^{L_1+L_2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_{33}} \exp\left(-\frac{y_3^2}{2\sigma_{33}^2}\right) dy_3,$$

$$\Phi_1\left(L_1\right) = \int_{-\infty}^{L_1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_{11}} \exp\left(-\frac{y_1^2}{2\sigma_{11}^2}\right) dy_1,$$

and also

$$\Phi_{13}\left(L_1,L_1+L_2\right) = \int_{-\infty}^{L_1} dy_1 \int_{-\infty}^{L_1+L_2} dy_3 \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{\det\Sigma_{13}}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{y}_{13}\Sigma_{13}^{-1}\mathbf{y}_{13}^T\right),$$

where

$$\Sigma_{13} = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{11}^2 & \sigma_{13}^2 \\ \sigma_{31}^2 & \sigma_{33}^2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{y}_{13} = (y_1,y_3).$$

Thus, the *second-order* achievable rate region in case II reduces simply to $L_1 + L_2 \ge T_{\mathrm{II}}$ where T_{II} is specified by

$$\int_{-\infty}^{T_{\mathrm{II}}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_{33}} \exp\left(-\frac{y_3^2}{2\sigma_{33}^2}\right) dy_3 = 1-\varepsilon;$$

and the *second-order* achievable rate region in Case III reduces simply to $L_1 \ge T_{\mathrm{III}}$ (L_2 is arbitrary) where T_{III} is specified by

$$\int_{-\infty}^{T_{\mathrm{III}}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_{11}} \exp\left(-\frac{y_1^2}{2\sigma_{11}^2}\right) dy_1 = 1-\varepsilon.$$

On the other hand, the *second-order* achievable rate region in Case I is explicitly written as the set of all (L_1, L_2) such that

$$\Phi_{13}\left(L_1, L_1+L_2\right)=\int_{-\infty}^{L_1} dy_1 \int_{-\infty}^{L_1+L_2} dy_3 \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{\det \Sigma_{13}}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{y}_{13}\Sigma_{13}^{-1}\mathbf{y}_{13}^{T}\right) \geq 1-\varepsilon .$$

Remark 4.3: Notice that, Case III is substantially the same as the full side-information type problem. Actually, in Case III, there is no condition on L_2 for the achievable rate region. This means that the error probability is independent on the second-order rate L_2. This is because, for the decoder, the first-order rate $a_2 > H(X_2)$ is sufficient to reconstruct $X_2^n = \mathbf{x}_2$. Therefore, the decoder is able to decode $X_2^n = \mathbf{x}_2$ perfectly without the knowledge of $X_1^n = \mathbf{x_1}$. Then, using $X_2^n = \mathbf{x}_2$ the decoder decodes $X_1^n = \mathbf{x_1}$. That is, $X_2^n = \mathbf{x}_2$ can be regarded as providing full side-information. Consequently, the result of Case III coincides with the results in [13], [14], in which full side-information type problems are treated. ■

Remark 4.4: Theorem 4.1 can be restated as the following corollary. Let

$$\begin{aligned} &K_n(a_1, a_2, \varepsilon|\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2)\\ &\quad=\Big\{(L_1,L_2)\,\big|\Phi\left(\sqrt{n}\left(a_1-H(X_1|X_2)\right)+L_1, \sqrt{n}\left(a_2-H(X_2|X_1)\right)+L_2,\right.\\ &\qquad\qquad\left.\sqrt{n}\left(a_1+a_2-H(X_1X_2)\right)+L_1+L_2\right)\geq 1-\varepsilon\Big\}, \qquad (4.1)\end{aligned}$$

denote the set of pair of real numbers given a_1, a_2 and ε. Then, we have:

Corollary 4.1: For an i.i.d. correlated sources, the second-order (a_1, a_2, ε)-achievable rate region is given as the set:

$$L(a_1, a_2, \varepsilon|\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2) = \lim_{n\to\infty} K_n(a_1, a_2, \varepsilon|\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2).$$

Proof: It is not difficult to verify that $K_n(a_1, a_2, \varepsilon|\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2)$ asymptotically coincides the each case in the theorem, by letting a_1 and a_2 be as in Case I, II and III, respectively. Moreover, the corollary also includes trivial cases such as (a_1, a_2) is inside or outside the pentagon (cf. Remark 4.1). ■

Proof of Theorem 4.1:

1) Case I:

In view of Theorem 3.1 in the form of (3.2), it suffices to calculate

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lim_{n\to\infty}\overline{F}_n(L_1,L_2|a_1,a_2)\\
&\quad=\lim_{n\to\infty}\Pr\left\{\frac{-\log P_{X_1^n|X_2^n}(X_1^n|X_2^n)-na_1}{\sqrt{n}}<L_1,\frac{-\log P_{X_2^n|X_1^n}(X_2^n|X_1^n)-na_2}{\sqrt{n}}<L_2,\right.\\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\left.\frac{-\log P_{X_1^nX_2^n}(X_1^nX_2^n)-n\left(a_1+a_2\right)}{\sqrt{n}}<L_1+L_2\right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Substituting $a_1=H(X_1|X_2)$ and $a_2=H(X_2)$ into $\overline{F}_n(L_1,L_2|a_1,a_2)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\overline{F}_n(L_1,L_2|a_1,a_2)\\
&=\Pr\left\{\frac{-\log P_{X_1^n|X_2^n}(X_1^n|X_2^n)-nH(X_1|X_2)}{\sqrt{n}}<L_1,\frac{-\log P_{X_2^n|X_1^n}(X_2^n|X_1^n)-nH(X_2)}{\sqrt{n}}<L_2,\right.\\
&\quad\left.\frac{-\log P_{X_1^nX_2^n}(X_1^nX_2^n)-n\left(H(X_1|X_2)+H(X_2)\right)}{\sqrt{n}}<L_1+L_2\right\}\\
&=\Pr\left\{\frac{-\log P_{X_1^n|X_2^n}(X_1^n|X_2^n)-nH(X_1|X_2)}{\sqrt{n}}<L_1,\frac{-\log P_{X_2^n|X_1^n}(X_2^n|X_1^n)-nH(X_2)}{\sqrt{n}}<L_2,\right.\\
&\quad\left.\frac{-\log P_{X_1^nX_2^n}(X_1^nX_2^n)-nH(X_1X_2)}{\sqrt{n}}<L_1+L_2\right\}\\
&=\Pr\left\{\frac{-\log P_{X_1^n|X_2^n}(X_1^n|X_2^n)-nH(X_1|X_2)}{\sqrt{n}}<L_1,\right.\\
&\quad\frac{-\log P_{X_2^n|X_1^n}(X_2^n|X_1^n)-nH(X_2|X_1)}{\sqrt{n}}<\sqrt{n}\left(H(X_2)-H(X_2|X_1)\right)+L_2,\\
&\quad\left.\frac{-\log P_{X_1^nX_2^n}(X_1^nX_2^n)-nH(X_1X_2)}{\sqrt{n}}<L_1+L_2\right\},
\end{aligned}$$

where the second equality is derived by the chain rule, $H(X_1|X_2)+H(X_2)=H(X_1X_2)$. Moreover, $H(X_2)-H(X_2|X_1)=I(X_1;X_2)>0$ holds, since we are considering correlated sources. Thus, for any constant $W>0$, we have $\sqrt{n}\left(H(X_2)-H(X_2|X_1)\right)>W$ for sufficiently large n.

As a consequence, noting that the correlated sources has an i.i.d. property, and taking account of the asymptotic normality (due to the central limit theorem), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{F}_n(L_1,L_2|a_1,a_2)\geq\Pr&\left\{\frac{-\log P_{X_1^n|X_2^n}(X_1^n|X_2^n)-nH(X_1|X_2)}{\sqrt{n}}<L_1,\right.\\
&\quad\frac{-\log P_{X_2^n|X_1^n}(X_2^n|X_1^n)-nH(X_2|X_1)}{\sqrt{n}}<W+L_2,\\
&\quad\left.\frac{-\log P_{X_1^nX_2^n}(X_1^nX_2^n)-nH(X_1X_2)}{\sqrt{n}}<L_1+L_2\right\}\\
&\to\Phi\left(L_1,W+L_2,L_1+L_2\right)\quad(\text{as } n\to\infty).
\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, as $W > 0$ can be arbitrarily large, this implies that

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \overline{F}_n(L_1, L_2|a_1, a_2) \geq \lim_{W\to\infty} \Phi\left(L_1, W + L_2, L_1 + L_2\right) = \Phi_{13}\left(L_1, L_1 + L_2\right). \tag{4.2}$$

On the other hand, it is obvious that

$$\overline{F}_n(L_1, L_2|a_1, a_2) \leq \Pr\left\{\frac{-\log P_{X_1^n|X_2^n}(X_1^n|X_2^n) - nH(X_1|X_2)}{\sqrt{n}} < L_1, \right.$$
$$\left. \frac{-\log P_{X_1^nX_2^n}(X_1^nX_2^n) - nH(X_1X_2)}{\sqrt{n}} < L_1 + L_2\right\},$$

so that we have

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} \overline{F}_n(L_1, L_2|a_1, a_2) \leq \Phi_{13}\left(L_1, L_1 + L_2\right). \tag{4.3}$$

Thus, summarizing (4.2) and (4.3) leads to

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \overline{F}_n(L_1, L_2|a_1, a_2) = \Phi_{13}\left(L_1, L_1 + L_2\right).$$

Therefore, the proof of Case I has been completed.

2) Case II:

First, notice that

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 + a_2 &= \lambda H(X_1) + (1-\lambda)H(X_1|X_2) + (1-\lambda)H(X_2) + \lambda H(X_2|X_1) \\ &= \lambda\left(H(X_1) + H(X_2|X_1)\right) + (1-\lambda)\left(H(X_1|X_2) + H(X_2)\right) \\ &= H(X_1X_2). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, $\Delta_1 \equiv a_1 - H(X_1|X_2) > 0$ if X_1 and X_2 are correlated, because

$$a_1 - H(X_1|X_2) = \lambda H(X_1) + (1-\lambda)H(X_1|X_2) - H(X_1|X_2) = \lambda\left(H(X_1) - H(X_1|X_2)\right) > 0.$$

The same argument yields, $\Delta_2 \equiv a_2 - H(X_2|X_1) > 0$, so that $\overline{F}_n(L_1, L_2|a_1, a_2)$ is given by

$$\overline{F}_n(L_1, L_2|a_1, a_2) = \Pr\left\{\frac{-\log P_{X_1^n|X_2^n}(X_1^n|X_2^n) - nH(X_1|X_2)}{\sqrt{n}} < \Delta_1\sqrt{n} + L_1, \right.$$
$$\frac{-\log P_{X_2^n|X_1^n}(X_2^n|X_1^n) - nH(X_2|X_1)}{\sqrt{n}} < \Delta_2\sqrt{n} + L_2,$$
$$\left. \frac{-\log P_{X_1^nX_2^n}(X_1^nX_2^n) - nH(X_1X_2)}{\sqrt{n}} < L_1 + L_2\right\}.$$

Here, $\Delta_1\sqrt{n}$ and $\Delta_2\sqrt{n}$ goes to ∞ as $n \to \infty$. Therefore, again, by virtue of the asymptotic normality, it holds that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \overline{F}_n(L_1, L_2|a_1, a_2) = \Phi_3(L_1 + L_2).$$

Therefore, the proof of Case II has been completed.

3) Case III:

Notice that, setting $\delta = a_2 - H(X_2) > 0$ leads to

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 + a_2 - H(X_1X_2) &= H(X_1|X_2) + H(X_2) + \delta - H(X_1X_2) \\ &= \delta > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, $\Delta_2 = a_2 - H(X_2|X_1) > 0$ holds, because

$$a_2 - H(X_2|X_1) = H(X_2) + \delta - H(X_2|X_1) > 0.$$

holds. Thus, $\overline{F}_n(L_1, L_2|a_1, a_2)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{F}_n(L_1, L_2|a_1, a_2) = \Pr\Bigg\{ & \frac{-\log P_{X_1^n|X_2^n}(X_1^n|X_2^n) - nH(X_1|X_2)}{\sqrt{n}} < L_1, \\ & \frac{-\log P_{X_2^n|X_1^n}(X_2^n|X_1^n) - nH(X_2|X_1)}{\sqrt{n}} < \Delta_2\sqrt{n} + L_2, \\ & \frac{-\log P_{X_1^nX_2^n}(X_1^nX_2^n) - nH(X_1X_2)}{\sqrt{n}} < \delta\sqrt{n} + L_1 + L_2 \Bigg\}, \end{aligned}$$

Here, $\Delta_2\sqrt{n}$ and $\delta\sqrt{n}$ goes to ∞ as $n \to \infty$. Then, again, by virtue of the asymptotic normality as well as the same discussion in Case I and II, it holds that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \overline{F}_n(L_1, L_2|a_1, a_2) = \Phi_1(L_1),$$

thus completing the proof. ■

Remark 4.5: It is interesting to note that in Case II and III, the condition on (a_1, a_2, ε)-achievable rate region is described by one-dimensional normal distribution function, while in Case I that is described by two-dimensional normal distribution.

Remark 4.6: It should be emphasized that the computation in this section is on the basis of the asymptotic normality. Hence, this approach is valid not only for i.i.d. correlated sources but also for sources whose self-information vector has the multi-dimensional asymptotic normality.

V. (a_1, a_2, ε)-ACHIEVABLE RATE REGION FOR MIXED CORRELATED SOURCES

In the previous section, we see that the second-order achievable rate region of any i.i.d. correlated sources (or more generally, correlated sources whose self-information vector has the multi-dimensional asymptotic normality) is described by using the multi-dimensional normal distribution. In this section, we try to compute the second-order achievable rate region of the correlated sources in which the asymptotic normality of self-information vector does not hold. One of such source classes is the mixed correlated sources. Recall that the mixed sources are typical cases of *nonergodic* sources. We consider two typical cases of mixed correlated sources and determine the second-order achievable rate region in each case explicitly by virtue of the relevant multi-dimensional asymptotic normality.

A. Mixture of Countably Infinite Correlated Sources

In this subsection, we assume that the correlated sources is a mixture of countably infinite i.i.d. correlated sources. Notice that

Let $\left(X_1^{(k)}, X_2^{(k)}\right)$ $(k = 1, 2, \cdots)$ be arbitrary pairs of i.i.d. correlated sources indexed by k. The mixed correlated sources that we consider in this subsection is defined by

$$P_{X_1^n X_2^n}(\mathbf{x_1}, \mathbf{x_2}) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} w(k) P_{X_1^{(k)n} X_2^{(k)n}}(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2), \tag{5.1}$$

where $w(k) \geq 0$ $(k = 1, 2, \cdots)$ are constants such that $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} w(k) = 1$.

The following lemma, which is implicitly contained in Han [8], plays the key role. We give the proof in the appendix for the sake of reader's convenience.

Lemma 5.1: Let $\{z_n^{(1)}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, $\{z_n^{(2)}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, $\{z_n^{(3)}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be any real-valued sequences. Then for the mixed correlated sources defined by (5.1), it holds that, for $k = 1, 2, \cdots$ with $w(k) > 0$

1)

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Pr\left\{ \frac{-\log P_{X_1^n|X_2^n}\left(X_1^{(k)n}|X_2^{(k)n}\right)}{\sqrt{n}} < z_n^{(1)}, \frac{-\log P_{X_2^n|X_1^n}\left(X_2^{(k)n}|X_1^{(k)n}\right)}{\sqrt{n}} < z_n^{(2)}, \right.\\
&\qquad \left. \frac{-\log P_{X_1^n X_2^n}\left(X_1^{(k)n} X_2^{(k)n}\right)}{\sqrt{n}} < z_n^{(3)} \right\}\\
&\leq \Pr\left\{ \frac{-\log P_{X_1^{(k)n}|X_2^{(k)n}}\left(X_1^{(k)n}|X_2^{(k)n}\right)}{\sqrt{n}} < z_n^{(1)} + \gamma_n, \frac{-\log P_{X_2^{(k)n}|X_1^{(k)n}}\left(X_2^{(k)n}|X_1^{(k)n}\right)}{\sqrt{n}} < z_n^{(2)} + \gamma_n, \right.\\
&\qquad \left. \frac{-\log P_{X_1^{(k)n} X_2^{(k)n}}\left(X_1^{(k)n} X_2^{(k)n}\right)}{\sqrt{n}} < z_n^{(3)} + \gamma_n \right\} + \frac{e^{-\sqrt{n}\gamma_n}}{w(k)},
\end{aligned}$$

2)

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\Pr\left\{\frac{-\log P_{X_1^n|X_2^n}\left(X_1^{(k)n}|X_2^{(k)n}\right)}{\sqrt{n}}<z_n^{(1)},\frac{-\log P_{X_2^n|X_1^n}\left(X_2^{(k)n}|X_1^{(k)n}\right)}{\sqrt{n}}<z_n^{(2)},\right.\\
&\qquad\left.\frac{-\log P_{X_1^nX_2^n}\left(X_1^{(k)n}X_2^{(k)n}\right)}{\sqrt{n}}<z_n^{(3)}\right\}\\
&\geq\ \Pr\left\{\frac{-\log P_{X_1^{(k)n}|X_2^{(k)n}}\left(X_1^{(k)n}|X_2^{(k)n}\right)}{\sqrt{n}}<z_n^{(1)}-\gamma_n,\frac{-\log P_{X_2^{(k)n}|X_1^{(k)n}}\left(X_2^{(k)n}|X_1^{(k)n}\right)}{\sqrt{n}}<z_n^{(2)}-\gamma_n,\right.\\
&\qquad\left.\frac{-\log P_{X_1^{(k)n}X_2^{(k)n}}\left(X_1^{(k)n}X_2^{(k)n}\right)}{\sqrt{n}}<z_n^{(3)}-\gamma_n\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\gamma_n>0$ satisfies $\gamma_1>\gamma_2>\cdots>0$, $\gamma_n\to 0$, $\sqrt{n}\gamma_n\to\infty$.

Proof: See Appendix. ■

Moreover, we define the normal cumulative distribution function for $k=1,2,\cdots$, as follows.

$$\Phi^{(k)}(T_1,T_2,T_3)\equiv\int_{-\infty}^{T_1}dy_1\int_{-\infty}^{T_2}dy_2\int_{-\infty}^{T_3}dy_3\frac{1}{(\sqrt{2\pi})^3\sqrt{\det\Sigma_k}}\exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{y}\Sigma_k^{-1}\mathbf{y}^T\right),$$

where $\mathbf{y}=(y_1,y_2,y_3)$ is a three-dimensional row vector, and $\Sigma_k=(\sigma_{ij}^2(k))\quad(i,j=1,2,3,k=1,2,\cdots)$ denotes the covariance matrix, which is given by

$$\sigma_{ij}^2(k)=\sum_{x_1\in\mathcal{X}_1}\sum_{x_2\in\mathcal{X}_2}P_{X_1^{(k)}X_2^{(k)}}(x_1,x_2)z_i^{(k)}(x_1,x_2)z_j^{(k)}(x_1,x_2),$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
z_1^{(k)}(x_1,x_2)&=\log\frac{1}{P_{X_1^{(k)}|X_2^{(k)}}(x_1|x_2)}-H\left(X_1^{(k)}|X_2^{(k)}\right),\\
z_2^{(k)}(x_1,x_2)&=\log\frac{1}{P_{X_2^{(k)}|X_1^{(k)}}(x_2|x_1)}-H\left(X_2^{(k)}|X_1^{(k)}\right),\\
z_3^{(k)}(x_1,x_2)&=\log\frac{1}{P_{X_1^{(k)}X_2^{(k)}}(x_1,x_2)}-H\left(X_1^{(k)}X_2^{(k)}\right).
\end{aligned}
$$

In addition, we set

$$
\begin{aligned}
&K_n^{mix}(a_1,a_2,\varepsilon|\mathbf{X}_1,\mathbf{X}_2)\\
&=\left\{(L_1,L_2)\left|\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}w(k)\Phi^{(k)}\Big(\sqrt{n}\left(a_1-H\left(X_1^{(k)}|X_2^{(k)}\right)\right)+L_1,\sqrt{n}\left(a_2-H\left(X_2^{(k)}|X_1^{(k)}\right)\right)+L_2,\right.\right.\\
&\qquad\left.\sqrt{n}\left(a_1+a_2-H\left(X_1^{(k)}X_2^{(k)}\right)\right)+L_1+L_2\Big)\geq 1-\varepsilon\right\},\qquad(5.2)
\end{aligned}
$$

given a_1, a_2 and ε. Then, the following theorem holds.

Theorem 5.1: For a mixed correlated sources defined by (5.1), the second-order (a_1, a_2, ε)-achievable rate region is given as the set:

$$L(a_1, a_2, \varepsilon|\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2) = \lim_{n\to\infty} K_n^{mix}(a_1, a_2, \varepsilon|\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2).$$

The theorem denotes that the second-order achievable rate region is given as the set defined by using the *mixed* three-dimensional normal distribution, while in the previous section the set is defined by using the *single* three-dimensional normal distribution.

Remark 5.1: It should be noted that the case, in which $a_1 \neq H\left(X_1^{(k)}|X_2^{(k)}\right)$ $(k = 1, 2, \cdots)$, $a_2 \neq H\left(X_2^{(k)}|X_1^{(k)}\right)$ $(k = 1, 2, \cdots)$ and $a_1 + a_2 \neq H\left(X_1^{(k)}X_2^{(k)}\right)$ $(k = 1, 2, \cdots)$ hold, necessarily implies that

$$1 - \varepsilon = \sum_{k=1}^{l} w(k),$$

where l is some integer. And then, the second-order achievable rate region is trivial, that is, (L_1, L_2) can take arbitrary values in $\mathbb{R}^2$ or $L_1 = L_2 = +\infty$, depending on $w(k)$ and ε. On the other hand, we can also see that in nontrivial case, the second-order rate region is determined by using the mixture of two or one-dimensional normal distributions (cf. Remark 4.2 and Example 5.1).

Proof of Theorem 5.1: From Theorem 3.1, it suffices to show that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lim_{n\to\infty} \overline{F}_n(L_1, L_2|a_1, a_2) \\
&\quad = \lim_{n\to\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} w(k)\Phi^{(k)}\Bigg(\sqrt{n}\left(a_1 - H\left(X_1^{(k)}|X_2^{(k)}\right)\right) + L_1, \sqrt{n}\left(a_2 - H\left(X_2^{(k)}|X_1^{(k)}\right)\right) + L_2, \\
&\qquad\qquad \sqrt{n}\left(a_1 + a_2 - H\left(X_1^{(k)}X_2^{(k)}\right)\right) + L_1 + L_2\Bigg).
\end{aligned}$$

From the definition of mixed correlated sources and the first inequality of Lemma 5.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\liminf_{n\to\infty} \overline{F}_n(L_1, L_2|a_1, a_2) \\
&= \liminf_{n\to\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} w(k) \Pr\left\{\frac{-\log P_{X_1^n|X_2^n}\left(X_1^{(k)n}|X_2^{(k)n}\right) - na_1}{\sqrt{n}} < L_1, \frac{-\log P_{X_2^n|X_1^n}\left(X_2^{(k)n}|X_1^{(k)n}\right) - na_2}{\sqrt{n}} < L_2,\right. \\
&\qquad\qquad \left.\frac{-\log P_{X_1^n X_2^n}\left(X_1^{(k)n}X_2^{(k)n}\right) - n(a_1 + a_2)}{\sqrt{n}} < L_1 + L_2\right\} \\
&\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} w(k) \liminf_{n\to\infty} \left(\Pr\left\{\frac{-\log P_{X_1^{(k)n}|X_2^{(k)n}}\left(X_1^{(k)n}|X_2^{(k)n}\right) - na_1}{\sqrt{n}} < L_1 + \gamma_n,\right.\right.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{-\log P_{X_2^{(k)n}|X_1^{(k)n}}\left(X_2^{(k)n}|X_1^{(k)n}\right)-na_2}{\sqrt{n}}<L_2+\gamma_n,$$
$$\left.\frac{-\log P_{X_1^{(k)n}X_2^{(k)n}}\left(X_1^{(k)n}X_2^{(k)n}\right)-n(a_1+a_2)}{\sqrt{n}}<L_1+L_2+\gamma_n\right\}+\left.\frac{e^{-\sqrt{n}\gamma_n}}{w(k)}\right).$$

Then, by virtue of the asymptotic normality of the component correlated sources $\left(X_1^{(k)},X_2^{(k)}\right)$ $(k=1,2,\cdots)$ and similar discussion with the proof of Theorem 4.1, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned}&\liminf_{n\to\infty}\overline{F}_n(L_1,L_2|a_1,a_2)\\&\quad\le\liminf_{n\to\infty}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}w(k)\Phi^{(k)}\Big(\sqrt{n}\left(a_1-H\left(X_1^{(k)}|X_2^{(k)}\right)\right)+L_1,\sqrt{n}\left(a_2-H\left(X_2^{(k)}|X_1^{(k)}\right)\right)+L_2,\\&\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\sqrt{n}\left(a_1+a_2-H\left(X_1^{(k)}X_2^{(k)}\right)\right)+L_1+L_2\Big).\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, the lower bound of $\liminf_{n\to\infty}\overline{F}_n(L_1,L_2|a_1,a_2)$ can be shown similarly with the argument above, where the second inequality of Lemma 5.1 is used instead of the first inequality of Lemma 5.1. ■

Remark 5.2: As shown in the above, the analysis here for mixed correlated sources is on the basis of the asymptotic normality of self-information vector and Lemma 5.1. This means that the similar argument is valid for any mixture of countably infinite sources for which the asymptotic normality of self-information vector holds for each of the component correlated sources.

Example 5.1: Let us consider the mixed correlated sources, for which it holds that $w(1)+w(2)=1$, and

$$H\left(X_1^{(1)}|X_2^{(1)}\right)>H\left(X_1^{(2)}|X_2^{(2)}\right),$$
$$H\left(X_2^{(1)}\right)>H\left(X_2^{(2)}\right).$$

Here, let us compute the second-order achievable rate region:

$$L\left(H\left(X_1^{(1)}|X_2^{(1)}\right),H\left(X_2^{(1)}\right),\varepsilon\middle|\mathbf{X}_1,\mathbf{X}_2\right),$$

and

$$L\left(H\left(X_1^{(2)}|X_2^{(2)}\right),H\left(X_2^{(2)}\right),\varepsilon\middle|\mathbf{X}_1,\mathbf{X}_2\right).$$

Notice that the second-order achievable rate region depends on $w(1)$ and ε. From Theorem 5.1 and Remark 4.1, it is easy to verify that if $w(1)>\varepsilon$, we have

$$L\left(H\left(X_1^{(1)}|X_2^{(1)}\right),H\left(X_2^{(1)}\right),\varepsilon\middle|\mathbf{X}_1,\mathbf{X}_2\right)=\left\{(L_1,L_2)\middle|w(1)\Phi_{1,3}^{(1)}\Big(L_1,L_1+L_2\Big)+w(2)\ge1-\varepsilon\right\},$$

$$L\left(H\left(X_1^{(2)}|X_2^{(2)}\right), H\left(X_2^{(2)}\right), \varepsilon \middle| \mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2\right) = (+\infty, +\infty)$$

if $w(1) < \varepsilon$, we have

$$L\left(H\left(X_1^{(1)}|X_2^{(1)}\right), H\left(X_2^{(1)}\right), \varepsilon \middle| \mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2\right) = \mathbb{R}^2,$$

$$L\left(H\left(X_1^{(2)}|X_2^{(2)}\right), H\left(X_2^{(2)}\right), \varepsilon \middle| \mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2\right) = \left\{(L_1, L_2) \middle| w(2)\Phi_{1,3}^{(2)}\Big(L_1, L_1 + L_2\Big) \geq 1 - \varepsilon\right\},$$

and otherwise (i.e., $w(1) = \varepsilon$) we have

$$L\left(H\left(X_1^{(1)}|X_2^{(1)}\right), H\left(X_2^{(1)}\right), \varepsilon \middle| \mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2\right) = \mathbb{R}^2,$$

$$L\left(H\left(X_1^{(2)}|X_2^{(2)}\right), H\left(X_2^{(2)}\right), \varepsilon \middle| \mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2\right) = (+\infty, +\infty).$$

B. General Mixture of i.i.d. Correlated Sources

In this subsection, we consider an extension of Theorem 5.1 to the case *general mixture* instead of countably infinite mixture.

The correlated mixed source that we consider in this subsection is defined by

$$P_{X_1^n X_2^n}(\mathbf{x_1}, \mathbf{x_2}) = \int_\Lambda P_{X_1^{(\theta)n} X_2^{(\theta)n}}(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2) w(d\theta), \tag{5.3}$$

where $w(d\theta)$ is an *arbitrary probability measure* on the parameter space Λ, and $\left(\mathbf{X}_1^{(\theta)}, \mathbf{X}_2^{(\theta)}\right)$ $(\theta \in \Lambda)$ are i.i.d. correlated sources with *finite* alphabet.

We use the following lemma shown by Han [8, Remark 7.4.2], instead of Lemma 5.1.

Lemma 5.2 (Han [8]): Let $\{z_n^{(1)}\}_{n=1}^\infty$, $\{z_n^{(2)}\}_{n=1}^\infty$, $\{z_n^{(3)}\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be any real-valued sequences. Then, for the mixed correlated sources defined by (5.3), it holds that,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_\Lambda \liminf_{n\to\infty} \Pr\Bigg\{\frac{-\log P_{X_1^{(\theta)n}|X_2^{(\theta)n}}\left(X_1^{(\theta)n}|X_2^{(\theta)n}\right)}{\sqrt{n}} < z_n^{(1)} - \gamma_n, \\
&\qquad \frac{-\log P_{X_2^{(\theta)n}|X_1^{(\theta)n}}\left(X_2^{(\theta)n}|X_1^{(\theta)n}\right)}{\sqrt{n}} < z_n^{(2)} - \gamma_n, \frac{-\log P_{X_1^{(\theta)n}X_2^{(\theta)n}}\left(X_1^{(\theta)n}X_2^{(\theta)n}\right)}{\sqrt{n}} < z_n^{(3)} - \gamma_n\Bigg\} w(d\theta) \\
&\leq \liminf_{n\to\infty} \int_\Lambda \Pr\Bigg\{\frac{-\log P_{X_1^n|X_2^n}\left(X_1^{(\theta)n}|X_2^{(\theta)n}\right)}{\sqrt{n}} < z_n^{(1)}, \frac{-\log P_{X_2^n|X_1^n}\left(X_2^{(\theta)n}|X_1^{(\theta)n}\right)}{\sqrt{n}} < z_n^{(2)}, \\
&\qquad \frac{-\log P_{X_1^n X_2^n}\left(X_1^{(\theta)n}X_2^{(\theta)n}\right)}{\sqrt{n}} < z_n^{(3)}\Bigg\} w(d\theta)
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\leq \limsup_{n\to\infty}\int_{\Lambda}\Pr\left\{\frac{-\log P_{X_1^n|X_2^n}\left(X_1^{(\theta)n}|X_2^{(\theta)n}\right)}{\sqrt{n}}<z_n^{(1)}, \frac{-\log P_{X_2^n|X_1^n}\left(X_2^{(\theta)n}|X_1^{(\theta)n}\right)}{\sqrt{n}}<z_n^{(2)},\right. \\
&\qquad \left.\frac{-\log P_{X_1^nX_2^n}\left(X_1^{(\theta)n}X_2^{(\theta)n}\right)}{\sqrt{n}}<z_n^{(3)}\right\}w(d\theta) \\
&\leq \int_{\Lambda}\limsup_{n\to\infty}\Pr\left\{\frac{-\log P_{X_1^{(\theta)n}|X_2^{(\theta)n}}\left(X_1^{(\theta)n}|X_2^{(\theta)n}\right)}{\sqrt{n}}<z_n^{(1)}+\gamma_n,\right. \\
&\qquad \left.\frac{-\log P_{X_2^{(\theta)n}|X_1^{(\theta)n}}\left(X_2^{(\theta)n}|X_1^{(\theta)n}\right)}{\sqrt{n}}<z_n^{(2)}+\gamma_n, \frac{-\log P_{X_1^{(\theta)n}X_2^{(\theta)n}}\left(X_1^{(\theta)n}X_2^{(\theta)n}\right)}{\sqrt{n}}<z_n^{(3)}+\gamma_n\right\}w(d\theta),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\gamma_n>0$ satisfies $\gamma_1>\gamma_2>\cdots>0$, $\gamma_n\to 0$, $\sqrt{n}\gamma_n\to\infty$.

In this case, we also define the normal cumulative distribution function for $\theta\in\Lambda$ as follows.

$$
\Phi^{(\theta)}(T_1,T_2,T_3)\equiv\int_{-\infty}^{T_1}dy_1\int_{-\infty}^{T_2}dy_2\int_{-\infty}^{T_3}dy_3\frac{1}{(\sqrt{2\pi})^3\sqrt{\det\Sigma_\theta}}\exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{y}\Sigma_\theta^{-1}\mathbf{y}^T\right),
$$

where $\mathbf{y}=(y_1,y_2,y_3)$ (a three-dimensional row vector), and the covariance matrix $\Sigma_\theta=(\sigma_{ij}^2(\theta))$ $(i,j=1,2,3,\theta\in\Lambda)$ are defined as the similar manner with the previous subsection.

Finally, we set

$$
\begin{aligned}
&K_n^{\Lambda}(a_1,a_2,\varepsilon|\mathbf{X}_1,\mathbf{X}_2) \\
&=\left\{(L_1,L_2)\middle|\int_{\Lambda}\Phi^{(\theta)}\left(\sqrt{n}\left(a_1-H\left(X_1^{(\theta)}|X_2^{(\theta)}\right)\right)+L_1,\sqrt{n}\left(a_2-H\left(X_2^{(\theta)}|X_1^{(\theta)}\right)\right)+L_2,\right.\right. \\
&\qquad\qquad \left.\left.\sqrt{n}\left(a_1+a_2-H\left(X_1^{(\theta)}X_2^{(\theta)}\right)\right)+L_1+L_2\right)w(d\theta)\geq 1-\varepsilon\right\}, \qquad (5.4)
\end{aligned}
$$

given a_1,a_2 and ε. Then, the following theorem holds.

Theorem 5.2: For a mixed correlated sources with finite alphabet defined by (5.3), second-order (a_1,a_2,ε)-achievable rate region is given as the set:

$$
L(a_1,a_2,\varepsilon|\mathbf{X}_1,\mathbf{X}_2)=\lim_{n\to\infty}K_n^{\Lambda}(a_1,a_2,\varepsilon|\mathbf{X}_1,\mathbf{X}_2).
$$

Proof: It suffices to proceed in parallel with the arguments as made in the proof of Theorem 5.1. Notice that Lemma 5.2 is used instead of Lemma 5.1 ■

VI. Concluding Remarks

So far we have established the *second-order* source coding theorem for the Slepian-Wolf coding problem with *general* correlated sources. On the other hand, in single-user source coding problem, Hayashi [7] has shown the *second-order* optimal achievable rate for *general* sources, and computed it for an i.i.d. source using the asymptotic normality. In the case that the source is assumed to be in the class of *mixed* sources, Nomura and Han [9] have computed the *second-order* achievable rates explicitly.

Analogously, we have established the *second-order* achievable rate region for *general* correlated sources, and computed it for i.i.d. correlated sources and mixed correlated sources, respectively. As we have mentioned in the above, in order to compute the achievable rate region for i.i.d. correlated sources, the multivariate normal distribution played the key role. In particular, the condition for the *second-order* achievable rate region turned out to be expressed in terms of the marginal distributions of a three-dimensional normal distribution.

Notice here that, in [9] the second-order optimal rates for mixed sources in single-user source coding problem has also been denoted by the form of the equation, which is similarly to (5.2) and (5.4). While the equation in [9] is based on the single-normal distribution functions, the similar formula in the present paper is based on the multi-normal distribution functions. In this viewpoint, results in the present paper can be considered as a generalization of results in [9]. In the channel coding problem, Polyanskiy, Poor and Verdú [18] have derived the second-order optimal capacity rates for Gilbert-Elliott channel by using the similar form of the equation.

Finally, it should be emphasized that our analysis is on the basis of the information spectrum methods and hence our results in this paper are valid with *countably infinite* alphabet excepting Theorem 5.2.

Appendix

Proof of Lemma 5.1

We first show the first inequality. Set a sequence $\{\gamma_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ satisfying $\gamma_1 > \gamma_2 > \cdots > 0$, $\gamma_n \to 0$, $\sqrt{n}\gamma_n \to \infty$ and define three sets

$$D_n^{(12)}(k) = \left\{ (\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2) \in \mathcal{X}_1^n \times \mathcal{X}_2^n \left| \frac{-\log P_{X_1^n X_2^n}(\mathbf{x}_1 \mathbf{x}_2)}{\sqrt{n}} - \frac{-\log P_{X_1^{(k)n} X_2^{(k)n}}(\mathbf{x}_1 \mathbf{x}_2)}{\sqrt{n}} \le -\gamma_n \right. \right\}.$$

$$D_n^{(1)}(k) = \left\{ (\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2) \in \mathcal{X}_1^n \times \mathcal{X}_2^n \left| \frac{-\log P_{X_1^n | X_2^n}(\mathbf{x}_1 | \mathbf{x}_2)}{\sqrt{n}} - \frac{-\log P_{X_1^{(k)n} | X_2^{(k)n}}(\mathbf{x}_1 | \mathbf{x}_2)}{\sqrt{n}} \le -\gamma_n \right. \right\},$$

$$D_n^{(2)}(k) = \left\{ (\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2) \in \mathcal{X}_2^n \times \mathcal{X}_1^n \left| \frac{-\log P_{X_2^n | X_1^n}(\mathbf{x}_2 | \mathbf{x}_1)}{\sqrt{n}} - \frac{-\log P_{X_2^{(k)n} | X_1^{(k)n}}(\mathbf{x}_2 | \mathbf{x}_1)}{\sqrt{n}} \le -\gamma_n \right. \right\},$$

for $k = 1, 2, \cdots$. In addition, we set

$$D_n(k) = D_n^{(1)}(k) \cup D_n^{(2)}(k) \cup D_n^{(12)}(k).$$

Then, it holds that for $k = 1, 2, \cdots$

$$\begin{aligned}\Pr\left\{\left(X_1^{(k)n}, X_2^{(k)n}\right) \in D_n^{(12)}(k)\right\} &= \sum_{(\mathbf{x}_1,\mathbf{x}_2)\in D_n^{(12)}(k)} P_{X_1^{(k)n},X_2^{(k)n}}(\mathbf{x}_1,\mathbf{x}_2) \\ &\le \sum_{(\mathbf{x}_1,\mathbf{x}_2)\in D_n^{(12)}(k)} P_{X_1^nX_2^n}(\mathbf{x}_1,\mathbf{x}_2)e^{-\sqrt{n}\gamma_n} \\ &\le e^{-\sqrt{n}\gamma_n}. \end{aligned} \tag{A.1}$$

Similarly, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned}\Pr\left\{\left(X_1^{(k)n}, X_2^{(k)n}\right) \in D_n^{(1)}(k)\right\} &= \sum_{(\mathbf{x}_1,\mathbf{x}_2)\in D_n^{(1)}(k)} P_{X_1^{(k)n},X_2^{(k)n}}(\mathbf{x}_1,\mathbf{x}_2) \\ &= \sum_{(\mathbf{x}_1,\mathbf{x}_2)\in D_n^{(1)}(k)} P_{X_1^{(k)n}|X_2^{(k)n}}(\mathbf{x}_1|\mathbf{x}_2)P_{X_2^{(k)n}}(\mathbf{x}_2) \\ &\le \sum_{(\mathbf{x}_1,\mathbf{x}_2)\in D_n^{(1)}(k)} P_{X_1^nX_2^n}(\mathbf{x}_1,\mathbf{x}_2)P_{X_2^{(k)n}}(\mathbf{x}_2)e^{-\sqrt{n}\gamma_n}, \end{aligned} \tag{A.2}$$

for $k = 1, 2, \cdots$.

Notice here that from the definition of the mixed correlated sources, we have

$$P_{X_2^n}(\mathbf{x}_2) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P_{X_2^{(k)n}}(\mathbf{x}_2)w(k),$$

for $k = 1, 2, \cdots$. Thus, we have

$$P_{X_2^{(k)n}}(\mathbf{x}_2) \le \frac{P_{X_2^n}(\mathbf{x}_2)}{w(k)},$$

for $k = 1, 2, \cdots$ with $w(k) > 0$. Substituting the above inequality into (A.2) it holds that

$$\begin{aligned}\Pr\left\{\left(X_1^{(k)n}, X_2^{(k)n}\right) \in D_n^{(1)}(k)\right\} &\le \sum_{(\mathbf{x}_1,\mathbf{x}_2)\in D_n^{(1)}(k)} P_{X_1^n|X_2^n}(\mathbf{x}_1,\mathbf{x}_2)P_{X_2^n}(\mathbf{x}_2)\frac{e^{-\sqrt{n}\gamma_n}}{w(k)} \\ &\le \frac{e^{-\sqrt{n}\gamma_n}}{w(k)}. \end{aligned} \tag{A.3}$$

for $k = 1, 2, \cdots$ with $w(k) > 0$. Similarly, we have

$$\Pr\left\{\left(X_1^{(k)n}, X_2^{(k)n}\right) \in D_n^{(2)}(k)\right\} \le \frac{e^{-\sqrt{n}\gamma_n}}{w(k)}, \tag{A.4}$$

for $k = 1, 2, \cdots$ with $w(k) > 0$.

From the definition of $D_n(k)$, by means of union bounds, (A.1), (A.3) and (A.4) yield

$$\Pr\left\{\left(X_1^{(k)n}, X_2^{(k)n}\right) \in D_n(k)\right\} \le \frac{2e^{-\sqrt{n}\gamma_n}}{w(k)} + e^{-\sqrt{n}\gamma_n} \le \frac{3e^{-\sqrt{n}\gamma_n}}{w(k)}.$$

for $k = 1, 2, \cdots$ with $w(k) > 0$, because $0 < w(k) \le 1$ holds.

This means that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Pr\left\{\left(X_1^{(k)n}, X_2^{(k)n}\right) \notin D_n(k)\right\} \\
&= \Pr\left\{\frac{-\log P_{X_1^n|X_2^n}\left(X_1^{(k)n}|X_2^{(k)n}\right)}{\sqrt{n}} > \frac{-\log P_{X_1^{(k)n}|X_2^{(k)n}}\left(X_1^{(k)n}|X_2^{(k)n}\right)}{\sqrt{n}} - \gamma_n,\right. \\
&\qquad \frac{-\log P_{X_2^n|X_1^n}\left(X_2^{(k)n}|X_1^{(k)n}\right)}{\sqrt{n}} > \frac{-\log P_{X_2^{(k)n}|X_1^{(k)n}}\left(X_2^{(k)n}|X_1^{(k)n}\right)}{\sqrt{n}} - \gamma_n, \\
&\qquad \left.\frac{-\log P_{X_1^n X_2^n}\left(X_1^{(k)n} X_2^{(k)n}\right)}{\sqrt{n}} > \frac{-\log P_{X_1^{(k)n} X_2^{(k)n}}\left(X_1^{(k)n} X_2^{(k)n}\right)}{\sqrt{n}} - \gamma_n\right\} \\
&\ge 1 - \frac{3e^{-\sqrt{n}\gamma_n}}{w(k)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Pr\left\{\frac{-\log P_{X_1^{(k)n}|X_2^{(k)n}}\left(X_1^{(k)n}|X_2^{(k)n}\right)}{\sqrt{n}} - \gamma_n < z_n^{(1)}, \frac{-\log P_{X_2^{(k)n}|X_1^{(k)n}}\left(X_2^{(k)n}|X_1^{(k)n}\right)}{\sqrt{n}} - \gamma_n < z_n^{(2)},\right. \\
&\qquad \left.\frac{-\log P_{X_1^{(k)n} X_2^{(k)n}}\left(X_1^{(k)n} X_2^{(k)n}\right)}{\sqrt{n}} - \gamma_n < z_n^{(3)}\right\} \\
&\ge \quad \Pr\left\{\frac{-\log P_{X_1^n|X_2^n}\left(X_1^{(k)n}|X_2^{(k)n}\right)}{\sqrt{n}} < z_n^{(1)}, \frac{-\log P_{X_2^n|X_1^n}\left(X_2^{(k)n}|X_1^{(k)n}\right)}{\sqrt{n}} < z_n^{(2)},\right. \\
&\qquad \left.\frac{-\log P_{X_1^n X_2^n}\left(X_1^{(k)n} X_2^{(k)n}\right)}{\sqrt{n}} < z_n^{(3)}\right\} - \frac{3e^{-\sqrt{n}\gamma_n}}{w(k)},
\end{aligned}$$

for $k = 1, 2, \cdots$ with $w(k) > 0$, which is the first inequality of the lemma.

Next, we show the second inequality of the lemma. Set

$$\begin{aligned}
S_n = \Big\{(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2) \in \mathcal{X}_1^n \times \mathcal{X}_2^n \,\Big|\, & P_{X_1^n|X_2^n}(\mathbf{x}_1|\mathbf{x}_2) \le e^{-\sqrt{n}z_n^{(1)}}, \text{ or } P_{X_2^n|X_1^n}(\mathbf{x}_2|\mathbf{x}_1) \le e^{-\sqrt{n}z_n^{(2)}}, \\
& \text{or } P_{X_1^n X_2^n}(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2) \le e^{-\sqrt{n}z_n^{(3)}}\Big\}
\end{aligned}$$

$$S_n^{(k)} = \left\{ (\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2) \in \mathcal{X}_1^n \times \mathcal{X}_2^n \,\middle|\, P_{X_1^{(k)n}|X_2^{(k)n}}(\mathbf{x}_1|\mathbf{x}_2) \le \frac{e^{-\sqrt{n} z_n^{(1)}}}{w(k)}, \text{ or } P_{X_2^{(k)n}|X_1^{(k)n}}(\mathbf{x}_2|\mathbf{x}_1) \le \frac{e^{-\sqrt{n} z_n^{(2)}}}{w(k)}, \right.$$
$$\left. \text{or } P_{X_1^{(k)n}X_2^{(k)n}}(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2) \le \frac{e^{-\sqrt{n} z_n^{(3)}}}{w(k)} \right\}$$

From the property of mixed correlated sources, $(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2) \in S_n^{(k)}$ $(k = 1, 2, \cdots)$ holds for $(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2) \in S_n$. This means that

$$S_n \subset S_n^{(k)}$$

$(k = 1, 2, \cdots)$. Moreover, since, by assumption, $\gamma_n \ge \frac{-\log w(k)}{\sqrt{n}}$ $(k = 1, 2, \cdots)$ hold for sufficiently large n, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&P_{X_1^{(k)n}X_2^{(k)n}}(S_n) \\
&\le P_{X_1^{(k)n}X_2^{(k)n}}(S_n^{(k)}) \\
&= \Pr\left\{ \frac{-\log P_{X_1^{(k)n}|X_2^{(k)n}}\left(X_1^{(k)n}|X_2^{(k)n}\right)}{\sqrt{n}} \ge z_n^{(1)} - \frac{\log w(k)}{\sqrt{n}}, \right. \\
&\qquad \text{or } \frac{-\log P_{X_2^{(k)n}|X_1^{(k)n}}\left(X_2^{(k)n}|X_1^{(k)n}\right)}{\sqrt{n}} \ge z_n^{(2)} - \frac{\log w(k)}{\sqrt{n}}, \\
&\qquad \left. \text{or } \frac{-\log P_{X_1^{(k)n}X_2^{(k)n}}\left(X_1^{(k)n}X_2^{(k)n}\right)}{\sqrt{n}} \ge z_n^{(3)} - \frac{\log w(k)}{\sqrt{n}} \right\} \\
&\le 1 - \Pr\left\{ \frac{-\log P_{X_1^{(k)n}|X_2^{(k)n}}\left(X_1^{(k)n}|X_2^{(k)n}\right)}{\sqrt{n}} < z_n^{(1)} - \gamma_n, \frac{-\log P_{X_2^{(k)n}|X_1^{(k)n}}\left(X_2^{(k)n}|X_1^{(k)n}\right)}{\sqrt{n}} < z_n^{(2)} - \gamma_n, \right. \\
&\qquad \left. \frac{-\log P_{X_1^{(k)n}X_2^{(k)n}}\left(X_1^{(k)n}X_2^{(k)n}\right)}{\sqrt{n}} < z_n^{(3)} - \gamma_n \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

for sufficiently large n.

Noting that, $1 - P_{X_1^{(k)n}X_2^{(k)n}}(S_n)$ is equal to the left hand side of the second inequality of the lemma, the second inequality has been obtained. ■

REFERENCES

[1] T. S. Han and S. Verdú, "Approximation theory of output statistics," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 39, no. 3, pp. 752–772, 1993.

[2] Y. Steinberg and S. Verdú, "Simulation of random processes and rate-distortion theory," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 42, no. 1, pp. 63–86, 1996.

[3] I. Kontoyiannis, "Second-order noiseless source coding theorems," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 43, no. 4, pp. 1339–1341, 1997.

[4] I. Csiszár and J. Körner, *Information Theory: Coding Theorems for Discrete Memoryless Systems.* Academic, 1981.

[5] M. Hayashi, "Information spectrum approach to second-order coding rate in channel coding," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 55, no. 11, pp. 4947–4966, 2009.

[6] Y. Polyanskiy, H. Poor, and S. Verdú, "Channel coding rate in the finite blocklength regime," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 56, no. 5, pp. 2307–2359, 2010.

[7] M. Hayashi, "Second-order asymptotics in fixed-length source coding and intrinsic randomness," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 54, no. 10, pp. 4619–4637, 2008.

[8] T. S. Han, *Information-Spectrum Methods in Information Theory.* Springer, New York, 2003.

[9] R. Nomura and T. S. Han, "Second-order resolvability, intrinsic randomness, and fixed-length source coding for mixed sources: Information spectrum approach," arXiv:1106.1879, submitted to *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, 2011.

[10] T. M. Cover and J. A. Thomas, *Elements of Information Theory.* Wiley, 1991.

[11] D.Slepian and J.K.Wolf, "Noiseless coding of correlated information sources," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 19, no. 4, pp. 471–480, 1973.

[12] Y. Steinberg and S. Verdú, "Channel simulation and coding with side information," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 40, no. 3, pp. 634–646, 1994.

[13] S. Watanabe, R. Matsumoto, and T. Uyematsu, "Strongly secure privacy amplification cannot be obtained by encoder of Slepian-Wolf code," *IEICE Trans. Fundamentals*, vol. E93-A, no. 9, pp. 1650–1659, 2010.

[14] R. Nomura and T. Matsushima, "A note on the second order separate source coding theorem for sources with side information," in *Proc. The 32nd Symposium on Information Theory and its Applications*, 2009, pp. 637–642.

[15] S. Miyake and F. Kanaya, "Coding theorems on correlated general sources," *IEICE Trans. Fundamentals*, vol. E78-A, no. 9, pp. 1063–1070, 1995.

[16] R. Nomura and T. Matsushima, "An analysis of Slepian-Wolf coding problem based on the asymptotic normality," *IEICE Trans. Fundamentals*, vol. E94-A, no. 11, pp. 2220–2225, 2011.

[17] V. Y. F. Tan and O. Kosut, "The dispersion of slepian-wolf coding," in *Proc. 2012 IEEE International Symposium on Information Theory*, 2012, pp. 920–924.

[18] Y. Polyanskiy, H. V. Poor, and S. Verdú, "Dispersion of the Gilbert-Elliott Channel," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 57, no. 4, pp. 1829–1848, 2011.